

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/706,225 | | 11/11/2003 | Alan S. Broad | 18856-08278 6323 | |
| 758 | 7590 | 08/31/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| FENWICK | & WEST | C LLP | | EDWARDS J | R, ТІМОТНҮ |
| SILICON V | ALLEY C | ENTER | | | |
| 801 CALIFO | 801 CALIFORNIA STREET | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MOUNTAI | VIEW. | CA 94041 | | 2612 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | SV |
|--|---|---|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/706,225 | BROAD ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Timothy Edwards, Jr. | 2612 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tatute, cause the application to become AB. | CATION. Sply be timely filed IFHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133) | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 | 1 November 2003 | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo | | ers, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicat | tion. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 9 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a | | v the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | | | i e |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: | ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | ents have been received | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | nlication No | |
| 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the p | | | |
| application from the International Bur | | Joen Ca III alio Hadonai Otage | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | | eceived. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Su | mmary (PTO-413) | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 | Paper No(s)/ | Mail Date brmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention, which is also disclosed, in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The disclosure of the prior-filed application, Application No. 09/676056, filed 9/29/2000, fails to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for one or more claims of this application.

Therefore, the present application does not get the benefit of the earlier filing date of the prior-filed application.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim1-8 and 10-12, drawn to a method of updating sensors from a remote station classified in class 340, subclass 870.07.
 - Claim 9, drawn to a message data structure, classified in class 370, subclass 470.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/706,225 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because there could be any number of data field in a sensor signal and the data contained in each field could vary. The subcombination has separate utility such as it could be used in any data transmission environment.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Albert Smith on August 29, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-8,10-12. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claim 9 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/706,225 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Forth et al '833.

Considering claim 10, Forth discloses the limitations of this claim (see paragraphs 0081-0085, 0088-0089 and 0104).

Considering claims 11,12 Forth discloses the limitation of these claims (see paragraph 0058).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 1-8 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: in the environment of updating a network of sensors the closes useable prior art Forth '833 fails to teach or suggest receiving at the remote station the acceptance or rejection of the update from any one of the selected sensor. Forth teaches the use of a revision control which allows the sensor to revert to a previous configuration or a user to review and reject an updated configuration but does not teach or suggest the sensor making the decision to accept or reject an updated configuration.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,225

Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

Page 5

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mester et al '142 discloses the scope of this invention. However, Mester is antedated by the present application.

10. Ito et al '218, Balakrishnan et al '240, Zhao et al '492 and Younis et al '585 discloses methods of updating a network of sensors

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Timothy Edwards, Jr. at telephone number (571) 272-3067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m. The examiner cannot be reached on Fridays.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached at (571) 272-7308.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-4700, Mon-Fri., 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Any response to this action should be fax to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/706,225 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov or contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy Edwards, Jr. Primary Examiner

August 30, 2006